

## **Dr. Sagar Samtani's NSF Grants "Template": My View (Tailored for IS/Business School; AI/Cybersecurity; Applied Research; CISE Research)**

**Disclaimer 1:** I do not claim to know everything about the NSF process. I am still learning.

**Disclaimer 2:** This document is less so of a template, but more so of the ingredients needed to craft a proposal. The organization presented here is not necessarily how a proposal should be organized. However, all the sections (i.e., ingredients) should appear in the proposal at some stage and in some capacity.

### **1. Introduction: Background and Motivation**

- a. Background and motivation
- b. Clear, crisp problem specification
- c. Summarize approach, collaborators; briefly summarize **BI and IM**.

### **2. Review of the field**

- a. Who is doing what. What is their approach? – what are the limitations. Link tightly with next point
- b. Show unique characteristics of the datasets – helps guide proposed research
- c. Need to show you know the field. Common limitations include, but are not limited to:
  - i. Do not account for all data types (limits comprehensiveness; lowers performance)
  - ii. Do not account for unique data characteristics (lowers performance)
  - iii. Do not allow scientific reproducibility
- d. Include yours – diagram is great here showing the timeline and activities

### **3. Relevance to \_\_\_ program**

- a. What does that program aim to achieve?
- b. What has been funded in the past? Need to show that you know the field.
- c. Highlight the gap(s)
- d. Summarize why this proposal hits on that gap and aligns with the core vision of the program.

### **4. Proposed research (get to this by page 4, latest)**

- a. 1 paragraph summarizing overall diagram and research approach
- b. One nice, beautiful diagram linking all components together (use logos for everything)
  - i. Data sources, algorithms, evaluations, technologies, collaborators, advisory board
- c. Systematically explain each component
  - i. Data collection – summarize approach, highlight how it is iterative, adaptive, ongoing; illustrate selected examples, how past work has leveraged these data, and what they have not done (and their importance)
  - ii. Algorithm overview – use selected math.
    1. Need to summarize and emphasize novelty. What are the key differences between what is being proposed and existing approaches? Why won't existing approaches/infrastructure/methods/data work?
  - iii. Evaluations (need to show combination of technical and non-technical; lack of convincing and thorough evaluation is often a reason why the proposal is declined)
    1. Intrinsic (A/P/R/F1/ROC/AUC/t-tests; H/C/V1/NMI/Rand; NDCG/MRR/MAP; Kappa/t-tests; computational complexity/speed)
    2. Extrinsic (expert validation; introduce collaborators; highlight their excellence and contributions; summarize their broader reach)
    3. SBE evaluations (surveys, qual, mixed methods; TAM/UTAUT; usability testing)
    4. Metrics to evaluate successful impact (need to think carefully about what end users value)
- iv. (Selected) Preliminary Results
  1. Illustrate value with case studies (pull from MISQ/ISR papers) → “the proposed work will further examine/evaluate...”
  2. System screenshots to show system → “the proposed work will... evaluate system design (SBE)... we have included funds for a dedicated research programmer to develop a commercial grade system”

3. Technical evaluations → “while the preliminary experiments yield promising results, the proposed research will evaluate the \_\_\_ algorithm on multiple datasets/contexts. Etc. to identify its... value/limits, etc.”

## 5. Dissemination of Research

- a. Conferences (academic for outreach, include how PIs have key role; industry; panels)
- b. Journals (pull references from recent journals related to the topic)
- c. Dedicated workshops (**local:** department/college/university; **regional:** community colleges, local universities; **NSF/National:** link with larger PI meetings, collaborative efforts; emphasize URMs)
- d. Include in publicly available data repositories for scientific reproducibility (GitHub, Kaggle)

## 6. Integration into education (Show metrics for each. Use tables. Highlight URMs)

- a. Ph.D./DBA (cybersecurity concentration, exec education)
- b. MS in Cybersecurity (Concentration director; capstone research)
- c. Undergraduates (REU; show statistics)
- d. SFS integration (for collaboration with SFS granting organization)
- e. Integration into MOOC courses (large-scale; increase diversity, range)
- f. Cybersecurity center education forum/industry workshops

## 7. Sustainability and Maintenance

- a. Highlight resources to keep project going (facilities, staff, etc.)
- b. Relevant
- c. Summarize how past projects have been successful

## 8. Timeline

- a. One table – year by year breakdown. Show clear and measurable metrics. Summarize how each activity builds on the previous.

## 9. Intellectual Merit (Make sure they have subsection headers; this helps proposal stand out)

- a. Method (technical) novelty – how can it be used in other contexts? (abstraction; usable in other contexts; think MISQ); flexibility across multiple datasets with similar data characteristics
- b. Scientific reproducibility

## 10. Broader Impacts (Make sure they have subsection headers; this helps proposal stand out)

- a. How will this help society?
- b. Inclusion of URM (with metrics); point to relevant university resources
- c. Ranking choice amongst veterans
- d. Higher education in additional disciplines
- e. Outreach, high school, middle schools, etc.

## 11. Prior NSF Works

- a. Summary of each project, followed by two references; IM and BI
- b. Show timeline of events with diagram – how does this project help fit that larger vision?

## 12. References

- a. More recent is better. Show references for evaluations, and for the broader field.

## Other key notes (and lessons learned):

- When getting a solicitation – always:
  - Read it multiple times
  - Summarize who collaborators are
  - Create an outline – be detailed with this.
  - Create a checklist of items to get done
  - Start early!
- Propose work that has already been (mostly) done. Then use funding to create new novelty to pursue the next round of funding.
- More than just pure methodological depth; need complete package (partners, plans for education/dissemination/evaluations/BI/IM)
- BI and IM are the most important! Must make sure that each proposal has them.
- Use diagrams, figures, and tables very carefully; they can communicate so much!

- Leave spacing between paragraphs; bold, italicize, and use bullets/numbering/ABCD list formatting where necessary – increases readability and flow. Think about 40<sup>th</sup> proposal in a stack!
- Keywords to use: research thrusts, investigate, design, evaluate
- Words to avoid: infrastructure development (not research), numerous, various, assorted, multiple (all too vague; need to tell them exactly what they need to know). Be precise first, then concise.
- Preliminary results illustrate PI has capability of executing the proposed research.
- OAC is more applied and less competitive (nearly 35% funding rate in 2019). IIS is most competitive and difficult – competitive proposals may get lost in the stack and go unfunded.
- Must contact program managers to make sure proposal fits current interests and how to tailor specific components.
- Supplementary documents:
  - Biosketches – do not just list MISQ/ISR or other B-school journals. Need to list IEEE/ACM and other more recognized outlets by NSF. Include sufficient 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> author references over a sustained period of time (e.g., 5 – 7 years).
    - Display synergistic activities that show community activity and building. Great examples include PC, reviewing, guest editing/editor roles, innovative course development, URM development.
  - Always get letters of collaboration! It is better to ask and not use, rather than not get one at all.
  - DMP can be largely recycled from previous proposals
  - Some solicitations require a project plan – be careful of this (I forgot to upload this once and the proposal was returned without review! My biggest regret from my Ph.D. program.)
  - Budget – work with administrators (they do a great job – stay out of their way, and do what they ask for right away!)
    - Do not use money for infrastructure or data collection (extensively) – NSF wants money to be spent on grad students, personnel, summer support, and possible outreach. Equipment should go into supplies category.
    - Negotiate with the college and/or to have portions of the indirect cost rates returned to the PI/Co-PI as discretionary funds. This will help to support during rainy days.
- Attitude – positive, persistent, professional, personable.